Using wearables for insurance risk assessment

Wearable technology refers to electronic devices with sensors, typically worn on the body, that collect and deliver information about their surroundings. Generally, the wearable device is a wristband or watch, although the technology has expanded to jewelry, glasses, clothing, and shoes. The accelerometers in activity trackers are also found in smartphones, allowing phones to track user activity even without a separate wearable device. These devices can be simple tools for tracking metrics associated with physical activity: step count, speed, and minutes of moderate and vigorous activity. Some models also capture heart rate, sleep patterns, and calories burned.

Roughly three-quarters of Americans (77 percent) own a smartphone and half (49 percent) own a wearable device. Sedentary behavior is associated with higher mortality rates, regardless of age, gender, race, and body mass index. As the wearable and smartphone markets grow, there is potential for incorporating physical activity information into the life insurance underwriting process to enhance customer experience while improving risk selection. Applications can include:

- Accelerated underwriting: triage cases to limit sedentary applicants from qualifying for the best risk classes, mitigating mortality risk.
- Traditional full underwriting: use physical activity as additional underwriting criteria, improving mortality experience.
- Customer engagement and awareness: develop rewards programs to cultivate healthy lifestyle choices, resulting in reduced healthcare expenses.

Companies interested in adopting a wearables-based program should be transparent about the use of data, including what information is captured, stored, and shared, and how the data is used. It is also important to note concerns around discrimination and equity, as these programs could penalize individuals without the ability to devote adequate time to daily physical activity. Still, as physical activity contributes to healthier lifestyles and longevity, incorporating wearable data in the life insurance market is an innovative means of managing risk.

Executive summary

Munich Re assessed the effectiveness of physical activity as measured by wearable sensors in stratifying the mortality risk profile of a U.S. population-based dataset provided by Vivametrica. Munich Re examined the dataset, performed classical actuarial mortality analysis and used survival analysis and machine learning techniques to evaluate the extent to which physical activity predicts mortality.

Wearable sensors measure the level of physical activity through step count and minutes of moderate to vigorous activity per day. Munich Re’s analysis found that steps per day can effectively segment mortality risk even after controlling for age, gender, smoking status and various health indicators. Insurers

Vivametrica

Vivametrica is a health analytics company that provides measurement of mortality and chronic disease risk using digital biomarkers developed from personal sensor data.

Vivametrica was started in 2013 by physicians and researchers with expertise in big data, physical activity, wearable devices, and evidence-based health measurement. Members of the team are also founders of the Wearable Health Lab at Stanford University. Vivametrica’s patent-pending algorithms are based on the world’s largest known population-based dataset including physical activity.

Analyses are used by life and health insurers to streamline underwriting, personalize insurance products, and provide engagement tools to customers. Vivametrica’s device-agnostic platform also supports health and wellness organizations.
KEY FINDINGS

Primary high-level findings include the following:

- Physical activity as measured by steps per day effectively stratifies mortality risk.
- Steps per day is an important predictor of mortality risk, and may be especially effective in identifying high mortality risk for sedentary behavior.
- Steps per day provides additional segmentation of mortality even after considering traditional underwriting attributes, such as smoking status, BMI, cholesterol, blood pressure and health history of diabetes, cardiovascular disease and cancer.

This de-identified dataset is comprised of 4,909 deaths on 14,192 individuals. The data collected in these studies include:

- Demographic information: age, gender, family income to poverty ratio (a measure of wealth).
- Measurements of BMI, waist size, cholesterol and blood pressure.
- Physician-assessed indicators of cardiovascular, cancer and diabetes status.
- Self-declared smoking status, alcohol use, drug use and family history of diabetes and cardiovascular disorders.
- Step count and minutes of moderate to vigorous activity measured over a minimum of seven days using research-grade hip-worn accelerometers.
- Follow-up months since study entry date and the vital status (death or alive) at follow-up.

Deaths were also confirmed by matching individuals to the Social Security Death Master File. The average follow-up period is 20 years.

Munich Re evaluated the characteristics of the dataset to address concerns that clinical research studies may skew towards unhealthy lives, limiting the applicability of the findings to a life insurance population. The clinical research studies used by Vivametrica employ rigorous recruitment protocols and enforce requirements for eligibility, to ensure that the samples include healthy individuals as well as those with a disease or health risk. All of the clinical studies included in the Vivametrica database were subject to population-based sampling methods. This means that the data is truly representative of the characteristics of the general U.S. population. In all studies, participants’ health was assessed by doctors or trained health care professionals.

Vivametrica provided sample weights for each individual based on several variables including age, gender and geographic location. This weight is a measure of the number of people in the population represented by each study participant. The data was weighted to produce a dataset representing an unbiased general U.S. population.

Munich Re also simulated an insurable population by including lives with:

- Family income to poverty ratio greater than one as a measure of wealth or face amount.
- No history of cardiovascular disorder or cancer (other than skin).
- BMI, blood pressure and cholesterol values within insurable limits.

The insurable population is comprised of 2,125 deaths on 8,173 individuals.

Table 1 shows the actual to expected mortality ratios relative to the general U.S. population as measured by the Human Mortality Database U.S. Life Tables. The mortality ratios of the sample weighted general population are within reasonable tolerance. The simulated insurable lives remove only the unhealthiest lives and, as such, have a higher mortality ratio than what would be expected of a fully underwritten life insurance portfolio.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Mortality Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unweighted full dataset</td>
<td>1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample weighted to reflect U.S. population</td>
<td>1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remove family income to poverty ratio &lt; 1</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remove those with high risk health factors for insurable population</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Nonetheless, we believe that our analysis supports the applicability of insights generated using clinical research studies to a life insurance population. In addition, we believe the inclusion of substandard lives underscores the potential to use physical activity to improve risk selection on these cases and expand insurability.

**Classical actuarial methodology**

Munich Re performed a classical actuarial actual to expected mortality analysis of the overall population-based dataset. To do this, we used an expected mortality basis taken from the Human Mortality Database U.S. Life Tables, which represents U.S. population mortality split by age, gender and calendar year. The expected mortality basis for the insurable population was taken from the 2008 VBT primary select & ultimate ANB tables split by age, gender and smoker status.

Munich Re completed analyses of relative actual to expected deaths (A/E) for both populations by various attributes to assess how mortality risk stratification by physical activity is influenced by these factors.

**Overall results**

Figure 1 demonstrates that steps per day stratifies mortality risk. Lives with sedentary and low steps per day have much higher mortality risk, while moderate and high steps per day correspond with lower mortality risk. Sedentary behavior is indicative of relative mortality that is over three times higher compared to active behavior as measured by steps per day. The pattern is observed for both the general population and the insurable lives.

We found minutes of moderate to vigorous activity to be highly correlated with steps per day, with fewer minutes of moderate to vigorous activity associated with higher relative mortality while higher minutes have lower relative mortality. The analysis that follows is focused on steps per day for the population of insurable lives.
**By age**

Figure 2a summarizes the distribution of average steps per day by age group. Not surprisingly, the proportion of moderate and high steps decreases as age increases, which means that average steps per day is higher for younger ages. Figure 2b demonstrates the mortality risk segmentation of steps per day across age bands. All age groups follow the same pattern, where mortality risk is much higher for those with sedentary and low steps. We also see that mortality differentiation by steps per day is more pronounced under age 65. Individuals aged 20-50 with sedentary steps have relative mortality greater than five times and are not shown on the chart. We assume this is due to the small number of cases in this segment and that sedentary behavior at younger ages is indicative of other health issues.

**By gender**

Figure 3a illustrates that males have a higher proportion of moderate and high steps compared with females; average steps per day is lower for females. Figure 3b shows that both males and females with moderate and high steps have better relative mortality. For males, the differentiation is most pronounced between low to moderate steps while for females this occurs between sedentary to low steps per day. This suggests that when steps per day are used for risk segmentation, different thresholds should be used to define physical activity levels for men and women.
By BMI

Figure 4a shows the distribution of steps per day by body mass index (BMI). As can be expected, obese individuals (BMI of 30+) have a higher proportion of sedentary and low steps. Figure 4b shows the relative mortality by steps per day and BMI ranges; obesity is associated with higher relative mortality. Steps per day shows a consistent pattern of mortality segmentation across BMI ranges, except for the small number of obese individuals with high steps per day.

By smoking status

From Figure 5a, we observe that the distribution of steps per day does not vary significantly by smoking status; current smokers at the time of the study measurement have a slightly lower proportion of high steps per day. Figure 5b demonstrates that regardless of smoking status, relative mortality risk is higher for sedentary and low steps. One interesting observation is that non-smokers (both those who have never smoked and those who were prior smokers) with sedentary and low steps per day experience higher mortality than smokers with moderate and high steps.
Munich Re also reviewed several other variables provided by Vivametrica such as wealth, cholesterol and diabetes. We found that steps per day effectively stratifies mortality across these attributes.

**Survival analysis**

Complementing the classical actuarial mortality analysis, Munich Re applied predictive modeling techniques to evaluate the extent to which physical activity predicts mortality. Using the dataset of insurable lives, Munich Re built Cox Proportional Hazard Regression Survival Models and Random Forest Survival Models, and compared various models with and without steps per day as a predictor. The table at right lists the predictors included in each model.

Figure 6 depicts the variable importance when including all available variables as predictors of mortality (Model C). Steps per day is a significant predictor of mortality and ranks as the second most important predictor after age.

One method to assess the predictive power of a model is using a goodness of fit metric such as area under the curve (AUC or Harrell's C-index). A value of 0.5 (50 percent) implies the model prediction is no better than random guessing, while a value of 1 (100 percent) represents a perfect model.
Figure 7 compares the AUC for the four models. As expected, Model A with only four demographic questions has the lowest AUC. Model B represents the traditional underwriting paradigm, where demographic information, vitals, and family history are used to segment mortality. Model C includes the same factors as Model B with the addition of steps per day. Adding steps per day improves the AUC, indicating that steps offer predictive power for additional mortality segmentation on top of traditional underwriting attributes.

Interestingly, Model D, which includes only demographic information and steps per day, performs similarly to Model B, which incorporates traditional underwriting attributes. This suggests that in streamlined underwriting programs where exams and fluids are waived for a subset of applicants, steps per day can supplant some of the traditional underwriting factors in segmenting mortality.

It is important to note that the individuals in this dataset were not underwritten for life insurance, hence have no self-selection or anti-selection, and that the underwriting variables included in these models are not exhaustive. Nonetheless, the results here indicate that steps per day is a powerful predictor of mortality and can enhance risk assessment in fully underwritten and streamlined programs.

Figures 8a and 8b illustrate the predicted survival curves for two sample individuals, a 45-year-old female non-smoker and a 35-year-old male non-smoker. Consistent with the classical actuarial actual to expected mortality analysis, sedentary and low steps per day have lower survival rates (higher mortality) while moderate and high steps per day have higher survival rates (lower mortality).

**Summary**

Based on our analyses of Vivametrica’s clinical research dataset, Munich Re concludes that there is strong evidence that physical activity as measured by steps per day can effectively segment mortality risk even after controlling for age, gender, smoking status and various health indicators.
Steps per day is a powerful predictor of mortality and may be especially effective in identifying high mortality risk for sedentary behavior. A credible pool of life insurance applicants with historical wearable data and mortality outcomes would most accurately measure the impact of incorporating wearables in life insurance risk assessment. However, we believe the clinical data delivers robust support for using a wearables-based program to augment the current underwriting process, manage risk, and promote active lifestyles.

Insurers interested in adopting a wearables program should begin with a pilot to assess consumer adoption rates and to understand the physical activity characteristics of their customers. The pilot serves as a baseline analysis to support a more comprehensive customer engagement or risk assessment program. Munich Re can provide assistance in program development, measurement, and monitoring to carriers considering a wearables-based program in the life insurance process.
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